20008

Appln. No. 10/644,909

Attorney Dockel No. 10541-2216

II. Remarks

Reconsideration and re-examination of this application in vew of the above amendments and the following remarks is herein respectfully requested.

Claims 1-13 remain pending.

Allowable Subject Matter

The undersigned acknowledges the Examiner's indication of the allowability of claims 7-13.

Double Patenting

The Examiner rejected claims 1-6 and 14 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting based on claims 1-6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,871,919 ('919). A Terminal Disclaimer with regard to '919 in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) is attached hereto. Accordingly, Applicant requests withdrawal of the provisional double patenting rejection as moot.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claim 14 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 14 has been cancelled. Accordingly, applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112.

HOFER GILSON

BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE PO Box 10395 Chicago, IL 60611-5599 Appln. No. 10/644,909

Attorney Docket No. 10541-2216

Conclusion

In view of the above amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the present form of the claims are patentably distinguishable over the art of record and that this application is now in condition for allowance. Such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted by,

Dated: September 15, 2005

Robert K. Fergan Reg. No.: 51,674

Attorney for Applicant(3)

BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE P.O. Box 10395 Chicago, IL 60610 (734) 302-6000